Creation or Evolution: What Really Makes Scientific Sense?

Introduction & Overview
Why Study the Subject?  
The Implications:

• **By Attacking Creation, Evolution is Undermining one of the Foundations of Christianity**
  – Colossians 1:16-20
  – Revelation 4:9-11
  – Revelation 5:9-14

• **If Supernatural Creation did not Occur, then Jesus is Not Creator or Qualified to be Redeemer**

• **By Understanding the Importance of this Issue a Christian is much better equipped for Evangelism.**

Purpose of Study: To Scientifically & Biblically Refute Darwinian Evolution and Bring Honor & Glory to Jesus Christ

***The Creator of all Things & Savior of Mankind***
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• **The atheist understands this better than some Christians:**

“If all animals and man evolved, then there were no first parents, no paradise, no fall. And if there had been no fall, then the entire historic fabric of Christianity, the story of the first sin, and the reason for the atonement collapses like a house of cards.” – H.G. Wells [1866-1946], *The Outlines of History*, 2 Volumes (1920).
What’s At Stake?

In the magazine called The Humanist, the following statement appears in an article entitled, A Religion for a New Age: The Secular Classroom (1985)

“The battle for humankind’s future must be waged and won in a public school classroom by teachers who correctly see their role as the proselytizers of a new faith. The classroom must and will become an arena of conflict between the old and the new - the rotting corpse of Christianity and the faith of humanism.”
Evolution’s True Purpose

“The most devastating thing though that biology did to Christianity was the discovery of biological evolution. Now that we know that Adam and Eve never were real people, the central myth of Christianity is destroyed. If there never was an Adam and Eve there never was an original sin. If there never was an original sin, there is no need of salvation.....If there is no need of salvation there is no need of a savior. And I submit that puts Jesus, historical or otherwise, into the ranks of the unemployed. I think that evolution is absolutely the death knell of Christianity.”

Some Definitions

- Webster’s dictionary primary definition of “evolution” is: “A process of change in a certain direction”.
- This definition is compatible with creationism in that we have direct evidence that animals and plants can be bred for particular purposes.
- Therefore, in general, a creationist can agree that “evolution” takes place since a great variety of types of animals and plants have been bred and hybridized.
- But Webster gives another definition of “evolution” as: “A theory that the various types of animals and plants have their origin in other, pre-existing, types and that the distinguishable differences are due to modifications in successive generations.”
- This definition is basically describing so-called ‘molecules-to-man” evolution and runs in direct contradiction with the Biblical account of creation. It is also in contradiction with thousands of years experience in breeding all kinds of animals and plants which remain of the same ‘kind’. Just as the Bible says!
- I will use the terms ‘micro-evolution’ to refer to the first definition of ‘evolution’ and the terms ‘macro-evolution’, ‘Darwinism’ or ‘Darwinian evolution’ to refer to the second definition.

Therefore We Must Carefully Consider the Context of the Discussion
Two “Types” of Evolution

- **MicroEvolution**
  Change within kinds-
  **Observe**

- **MacroEvolution**
  Change between kinds-
  **Not Observe**
Final Jeopardy Answer

What is:
"How must life have originated if we assume that God had nothing to do with it?"

Darwinism
Some Secular-Atheistic Claims

- “Belief in creation is nonsense.”
- “Creationism is a religious belief that has nothing to do with science”.
- “The creation account in Genesis is just a fable which cannot be considered as real history”.
- “The Theory of Evolution is a proven fact”.
- “Science has completely proved that the Genesis account of creation is nothing more than a myth”.

But Are These Dogmatic Statements True?
‘Schizophrenic’ Language Confusion

- You will find that Evolutionists love to use **vague terms** to describe macro-evolution:
  - “probably happened”
  - “may have taken place”
  - “is likely to have occurred”

These are NOT terms of **scientific certainty**!

- Evolutionists have also **co-opted creationist terms** without ‘batting an eyelash’:
  - “parts which appear bio-engineered”
  - “miraculous process”
  - “amazing design features”

Darwinian Evolutionists Use Deceptive Language
‘Open & Shut’ Case?

- There are many who would say that the whole controversy between Creationism and Darwinism is absurd and unnecessary.
- They would say a seminar arguing against Darwinian evolution & for creationism is futile and ridiculous.
- Why? “Because science has shown the issue to be an ‘open & shut’ case by proving macro-evolution has occurred”.

To that I say

“Not So Fast!”
“Whoa—Back Up the Truck!”
Is Darwinian Evolution Really a ‘Done Deal’?
We Need to Remember to Avoid “False” Science (Knowledge)

“Theodore, guard what has been entrusted to your care. Turn away from godless chatter and the opposing ideas of what is falsely called knowledge (i.e., ‘science’), which some have professed and in so doing have wandered from the faith”. 1 Timothy 6:20-21
Some Evolutionists Are Not So Dogmatic
But Reveal a Blind Bias

Dr. George Wald was professor Emeritus of Biochemistry at Harvard University and shared the Nobel prize in that field in 1967. He has written,

“There are only two possibilities as to how life arose. One is spontaneous generation arising to evolution, the other is a supernatural creative act of God. There is no third possibility. Spontaneous generation, that life arose from non-living matter was scientifically disproven 120 years ago by Louis Pasteur and others. That leaves us with only one possible conclusion: that life arose as a supernatural creative act of God. I will not accept that philosophically because I do not want to believe in God. Therefore, I choose to believe in that which I know is scientifically impossible—spontaneous generation arising to evolution.”

-- Scientific American, August 1954, p. 46.
Many Prominent Secular Scientists Disavow the Theory of Evolution

Dr. Ethridge, of the British Museum of Science, says this: “Nine tenths of the talk about evolution is sheer nonsense. It is not founded on observation and wholly unsupported by facts. This museum is full of proofs of the utter falsity of their view.”

Dr. Ambrose Flemming, was president of the Philosophical Society of Great Britain, said, “The evolution theory is purely the product of the imagination.”

Dr. D.M.S. Watson said, “Evolution is a theory universally accepted not because it can be proved by logical, coherent evidence to be true, but because the only alternative, special creation, is clearly incredible.” -- Nature, August 10, 1929, p.233.
Many Prominent Secular Scientists Disavow the Theory of Evolution

Dr. Newton Kamacian, a physiologist for the Atomic Energy Commission has stated, “Scientists who go about teaching evolution as a fact of life are great con-men and the story they are telling may be the greatest hoax ever. In explaining evolution, we do not have one iota of fact.”
One Secular Scientist Speaks Out

Dr. Collin Patterson, senior paleontologist at British Museum of Natural History and evolutionist, address at the American Museum of Natural History, New York City, Nov. 5, 1981 entitled, Evolution and Creationism had the following to say:

“For over 20 years I thought I was working on evolution....But there was not one thing I knew about it.....Then I woke up and realized that all my life I had been duped into taking evolutionism as revealed truth in some way....So for the last few weeks I've tried putting a simple question to various people, the question is, "Can you tell me anything you know about evolution, any one thing that is true?“ I tried that question on the Geology staff at the Field Museum of Natural History and the only answer I got was silence.”
“I tried it on the members of the Evolutionary Morphology Seminar in the University of Chicago, A very prestigious body of Evolutionists, and all I got there was silence for a long time and eventually one person said, ‘Yes, I do know one thing, it ought not to be taught in High School’

.....over the past few years.....you have experienced a shift from Evolution as knowledge to evolution as faith... ..Evolution not only conveys no knowledge, but seems somehow to convey anti-knowledge... ..“I feel that the effects of hypotheses of common ancestry in systematics has not been merely boring, not just a lack of knowledge; I think it has been positively anti-knowledge.”
“No one has ever produced a species by mechanisms of natural selection. No one has ever gotten near it and most of the current argument in neo-Darwinism is about this question: how can a species originate and is it there that natural selection seems to be fading out and chance mechanisms of one sort or another are being invoked... all one can learn about the history of life is learned from systematics, from groupings one finds in nature. The rest of it is story-telling of one sort or another. We have access to the tips of a tree; the tree itself is theory and people who pretend to know about the tree and to describe what went on with it, how the branches came off and the twigs came off are, I think, telling stories.”

Dr. Collin Patterson, senior paleontologist at British Museum of Natural History and evolutionist, address at the American Museum of Natural History, New York City, Nov. 5, 1981.
Prominent Evolutionist Admits Problems

“The absence of fossil evidence for intermediary stages between major transitions in organic design, indeed our inability, even in our imagination, to construct functional intermediates in many cases, has been a persistent and nagging problem for gradualist accounts of evolution.

“The extreme rarity of transitional forms in the fossil record persists as a trade secret of Paleontology. Evolutionary trees that adorn our textbooks have data only at the tips and nodes of their branches; the rest is inference, however reasonable, not the evidence of fossils.”

Dr. Stephen Jay Gould, Professor of Geology and Paleontology, Harvard University, “Evolution, Erratic Pace”. (died 2004)
Thus became a proponent of ‘Punctuated Equilibrium’.
Darwin’s Three Propositions

• Species Are Not Immutable
  ᵃ Species are not bound by any limitations in variety; i.e., the potential for change is unlimited.

• Descent With Modification
  ᵃ All living things descended from a very small number of “Common” ancestors.
  ᵃ Gradualism: This process occurred over long periods of time (100’s of millions years)

• Mechanism of Evolution Is Natural Selection*
  ᵃ The idea of “Survival of the Fittest”
  ᵃ Mutations help produce the necessary changes
  ᵃ No “Saltations”, no large, sudden leaps of mutations

* However, in the 6th edition of his book, Origin of Species, Darwin confesses that Natural Selection cannot be a mechanism for macroevolution & replaces it with different, proposition (Larmarkism).
Darwin Himself Gives Us the Means to Dismantle His Own Theory

- **Darwin makes two startling statements in his book, *Origin of Species***:

  “If it could be proved that any part of the structure of one species had been formed for the exclusive good of another species, it would annihilate my theory, for such could not have been produced through natural selection... ... ... Although many statements may be found in works on natural history to this effect, I cannot find even one which seems to me of any weight”

  “If it could be demonstrated that any complex organ existed which could not possibly have been formed by numerous, successive, slight modifications, my theory would absolutely breakdown... ... ... ... But I can find out no such case”

**But Are There Such Examples? — Yes! (And Darwin Did Not Look Very Hard)**
The tobacco plant Nicotiana attenuata releases volatile organic compounds after herbivore attack by Manduca (tobacco hornworm) caterpillars. These organic compounds attract other insect predators that eat the eggs deposited by hornworm’s on the leaves thus reducing the hornworm’s population and greatly reducing further damage to the plant.

A non-creationist laments:

.......no stage or aspect of this physical process can be accounted for or even guessed at with our current knowledge of chemistry, physics, genetics, or molecular biology, extensive though they are. It is completely beyond us. We know practically nothing about the plan or program governing the metamorphosis, or the organizing agency that executes this plan. Milton, R., Shattering the Myths of Darwinism, Park St. Press, 1992, p. 220.
The Enigma of Butterflies for Evolution

Metamorphosis to the adult stage is nothing less than a biological miracle. Complex molecules called enzymes are released that literally digest the caterpillar while it is ensconced in the chrysalis, converting it into a rich soup of disjointed tissues and cells—which after four days becomes an adult butterfly. But how? Detailed metamorphosis studies done on different insect species shows only that the ways organ systems are formed are quite varied. This is not what evolution would predict.

As Darwin noted in the Origin of Species, the abrupt emergence of arthropods in the fossil record during the Cambrian presents a problem for evolutionary biology. There are no obvious simpler or intermediate forms—either living or in the fossil record—that show convincingly how modern arthropods evolved from worm-like ancestors. Consequently there has been a wealth of speculation and contention about relationships between the arthropod lineages. -- Osorio, Bacon & Whittington, American Scientist (vol. 85, May/June 1997), p. 244.

The wing may have evolved as a flap-like extension of the dorsal upper leg, according to Kukalova-Peck, and hence may have been articulated and move-able from the start. -- Gerhart & Kirschner, Cells, Embryos, and Evolution, Blackwell Science, 1997, p. 555.
The Bombardier Beetle

- Ectodermal glands
- Catalase Enzymes
- Hydroquinones + Hydrogen Peroxide
- 28% Hydrogen Peroxide
- Explosion sac
- 95 °C
- 4000 Hz Pulses
- Combustion Chamber

BOOM!

Attack by predator

TRY AGAIN!
The Enigma of Insect Camouflage for Evolution

There is no coherent, self-consistent evolutionary process that can account for these designs.

How would an evolving insect know ahead of time what it needed to evolve into since the plants and trees were presumably evolving at the same time?
The Enigma of Fish Camouflage for Evolution

Many fish have markings, colorings and appendages that make them appear larger and more intimidating than they actually are. The markings are not randomly placed, but are located at specific places to produce symmetric, realistic looking features.
Human Artery Is An Engineering Marvel
"To suppose that the eye with all its inimitable contrivances for adjusting the focus to different distances, for admitting different amounts of light, and for the correction of spherical and chromatic aberration, could have been formed by natural selection, seems, I freely confess, absurd in the highest degree."
Terms Used in Biological Classification
Darwinian Evolutionary ‘Tree’

Family
Genus

Family
Genus

TIME

DIVERSITY

DISPARITY
MORPHOLOGY

PHYLETIC GRADUALISM

THEORY: DIVERSITY PRECEDES DISPARITY

DIVERSITY DEPENDENT ON DISPARITY
How Does the Fossil Record “Fit”
Creation Model

DIVERSITY INDEPENDENT OF DISPARITY
Comparison of Theory with Fact

Darwinian Predictions vs. The Fossil Evidence

- ORIGIN OF THE PHYLA
- EVIDENCE: DIVERSITY INDEPENDENT OF DISPARITY
Darwin Recognized a Problem

“.. The number of intermediate varieties, which have formerly existed on the earth, (must) be truly enormous. Why then is not every geological formation and every stratum full of such intermediate links? Geology assuredly does not reveal any such finely graduated organic chain; and this, perhaps, is the most obvious and gravest objection which can be urged against my theory.”

Modern Evolutionists Recognize a Problem

“The absence of fossil evidence for intermediary stages between major transitions in organic design, indeed our inability, even in our imagination, to construct functional intermediates in many cases, has been a persistent and nagging problem for gradualist accounts of evolution... .”

“...The extreme rarity of transitional forms in the fossil record persists as a trade secret of Paleontology. Evolutionary trees that adorn our textbooks have data only at the tips and nodes of their branches; the rest is inference, however reasonable, not the evidence of fossils.”

-- Dr. Stephen Jay Gould, Professor of Geology and Paleontology, Harvard University, “Evolution, Erratic Pace”. (died 2004)

“The family trees which adorn our text books are based on an inference, however reasonable, not the evidence of fossils.” -- Dr. Stephen Jay Gould, “Natural History”, v. 86(5), 1977, p.13.
What is:

“The greatest scientific hoax ever perpetrated on mankind?"
Then What Is the ‘Real’ Issue?

Dr. George Wald was professor Emeritus of Biochemistry at Harvard University and shared the Nobel prize in that field in 1967. He has written,

“There are only two possibilities as to how life arose. One is spontaneous generation arising to evolution, the other is a supernatural creative act of God. There is no third possibility. Spontaneous generation, that life arose from non-living matter was scientifically disproven 120 years ago by Louis Pasteur and others. That leaves us with only one possible conclusion: that life arose as a supernatural creative act of God. I will not accept that philosophically because I do not want to believe in God. Therefore, I choose to believe in that which I know is scientifically impossible—spontaneous generation arising to evolution.”

-- Scientific American, August 1954, p. 46.
The True ‘Colors’ of Darwinian Evolution

“I had motives for not wanting the world to have a meaning. For myself, as no doubt for most of my contemporaries, the philosophy of meaninglessness was essentially an instrument of liberation. The liberation we desired was simultaneously liberation from a certain system of morality. We objected to the morality, because it interfered with our sexual freedom. We objected to the political and economic system, because it was unjust. The supporters of these systems claim that in some way they embodied the meaning -- a Christian meaning, they insisted of the world. There was one admirably simple method of confusing these people and at the same time justify ourselves in our political and erotic revolt. We could deny that the world had any meaning whatsoever”.

--Aldous Huxley [1894-1963], *Ends and Means* (1937). (He was referred to as “Darwin’s bulldog”).
So What’s At the Heart of Darwinism?

• If Darwinian Evolution........
  ſ does not make logical sense
  ſ is not supported by scientific evidence
  ſ is scientifically bankrupt

.....then why is it still believed and promoted?

Answers:

• Darwinism is the foundation of the religion of Humanism—defined as the religion of the atheist*.
• Darwinism allows the atheist to have a ‘sophisticated excuse’ for not believing in a God.
• Darwinism allows a person to engage in an immoral lifestyle without accountability to divine authority.

* United States Supreme Court, Torcaso vs Watkins (1961)
American Humanist Association
Human Manifesto I (1933)

• “Religious humanists regard the universe as self-existing and not created.”

• “We find insufficient evidence for belief in the existence of a supernatural; it is either meaningless or irrelevant to the question of survival and fulfillment of the human race.”
"Darwinism is a creed not only with scientists committed to document the all-purpose role of natural selection. It is a creed with masses of people who have at best a vague notion of the mechanism of evolution as proposed by Darwin, let alone as further complicated by his successors. Clearly, the appeal cannot be that of a scientific truth but of a philosophical belief which is not difficult to identify. Darwinism is a belief in the meaninglessness of existence."

At The ‘End of the Day’

- Darwinian evolution is nothing more than:
  - Wishful thinking
  - Self-delusion
  - An elaborate hoax being self-perpetuated by a powerful interest group—Humanists.

Darwinian Evolution doesn’t appear to be such a proven scientific fact after all!
“because, although they knew God, they did not glorify Him as God, nor were thankful, but became futile in their thoughts (imaginations), and their foolish hearts were darkened.” — Romans 1:21.
So How is Such A Scientifically Bankrupt Theory Sustained?

• **By powerful secular media organizations like PBS-TV** which promote the Humanist agenda in a popular but deceptive format.

• **Almost limitless resources by billionaire financers who benefit from the Humanist agenda.**

• **Popular magazines:**
  • **Scientific American** which is run by non-professional scientists and is not peer-reviewed.
  • **National Geographic** which proactively promotes Humanistic philosophy and lifestyle.

• **Science and technology agencies such as NASA and J PL.**

• **Powerful secular educational organizations such as The National Science Foundation.**
Back to Reality

“You turn things upside down, as if the potter were thought to be like the clay. Shall what is formed say to him he formed it, “He did not make me”? Can the pot say of the potter, “He knows nothing”?—Isaiah 29:16

“The fool has said in his heart, "There is no God." They are corrupt, they have done abominable works, there is none who does good. The LORD looks down from heaven upon the children of men, to see if there are any who understand, who seek God. They have all turned aside, they have together become corrupt; there is none who does good, no, not one”.—Psalms 14:1-3
The Truth

For by Him all things were created that are in heaven and that are on earth, visible and invisible, whether thrones or dominions or principalities or powers. All things were created through Him and for Him. And He is before all things, and in Him all things consist.

—Colossians 1:16-17

By faith we understand that the worlds were framed by the word of God, so that the things which are seen were not made of things which are visible.—Hebrews 11:3
Contend Earnestly and Be Ready to Give a Defense with Meekness & Fear

- Beloved, while I was very diligent to write to you concerning our common salvation, I found it necessary to write to you exhorting you to contend earnestly for the faith which was once for all delivered to the saints.
  - Jude 1:3.

- But sanctify the Lord God in your hearts, and always be ready to give a defense to everyone who asks you a reason for the hope that is in you, with meekness and fear; –1 Peter 3:15.