Strategies for the prevention of volleyball related injuries
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Although the overall injury rate in volleyball and beach volleyball is relatively low compared with other team sports, injuries do occur in a discipline specific pattern. Epidemiological research has revealed that volleyball athletes are, in general, at greatest risk of acute ankle injuries and overuse conditions of the knee and shoulder. This structured review discusses both the known and suspected risk factors and potential strategies for preventing the most common volleyball related injuries: ankle sprains, patellar tendinopathy, and shoulder overuse.

Volleyball enjoys one of the highest participation rates of any sport in the world. By most estimates, volleyball ranks second only to football (soccer) in terms of global popularity. One of the most appealing aspects of the sport is that it can be played indoors and outdoors, by the young and the old, by males and females, and by both the able bodied and those with physical impairments. Volleyball is furthermore unique among team sports in that it has evolved into two distinct Olympic disciplines: an indoor version featuring six players on each team, and a two person per side outdoor game typically played on sand (beach volleyball). Although the essential skills of the two disciplines are identical, important differences between indoor volleyball and beach volleyball do exist, including certain rules, the court dimensions, the composition of the playing surface, the environmental conditions in which the players must compete, and subtle differences in the size and weight of the indoor and outdoor balls. The physiological demands of the two disciplines also differ to some extent: the average indoor match during the 2005 FIVB World Grand Champions Cup lasted about 95 minutes, with nearly 165 rallies over the course of the contest, whereas the average match on the FIVB Beach Volleyball World Tour lasts about 50 minutes with 90 rallies contested.

As with all sports, those who enjoy either of the two volleyball disciplines assume a certain risk of injury the moment they step onto the court. According to van Mechelen’s model, one must first understand the injury pattern characteristic of the sport before it is possible to design effective prevention programmes.1 Data collected prospectively since 1984 by the National Collegiate Athletic Association’s (NCAA) Injury Surveillance System (ISS)2 consistently reveal acute ankle sprains to be the most common injury suffered by women’s collegiate (indoor) volleyball athletes, followed by overuse conditions of the knee, shoulder, and lower back.

In addition to the ISS data, there are numerous published epidemiological studies that have attempted to characterise the injury pattern typical of, and quantify the risk factors for injury inherent to, volleyball.3–10 Regrettably, differences in methodology and design make direct comparison of these studies difficult, if not impossible. In some instances, the studies are too small to permit meaningful statistical comparison of the data. Nevertheless, at least two prospective cohort studies have been published that reveal similar patterns of acute injuries among men and women competing in the traditional indoor version of the sport (fig 1). Bahr and Bahr’s documented an incidence of 3.5 injuries/1000 hours during competition and 1.5 injuries/1000 hours during training (overall injury rate of 1.7/1000 hours) among 273 male and female athletes competing over one season in the Norwegian Volleyball Federation amateur league. More recently, Verhagen et al11 found an overall injury rate of 2.6 injuries/1000 hours (representing a competition injury rate of 4.1/1000 hours and a training injury rate of 1.8/1000 hours) among a cohort of 486 male and female athletes competing in the 2nd and 3rd Dutch leagues. These data suggest that indoor volleyball is a relatively safe sport, particularly compared with contact sports such as team handball and collision sports such as ice hockey. Indeed, research conducted during the Athens 2004 Olympics confirms that volleyball enjoyed the lowest injury rate of any team sport contested in those Games.11

The discipline of beach volleyball may be even safer than the indoor variety. Bahr and Reeser4 investigated the injury pattern at the professional level—that is, athletes competing on the FIVB World Tour—documenting an acute time-loss injury rate of 3.1/1000 competition hours (2.9 for men and 3.3 for women) and 0.7/1000 training hours (0.8 for men and 0.7 for women). Knee injuries (30%), ankle injuries (17%), and finger injuries (17%) accounted for more than half of all acute time-loss injuries. However, both male and female players reported a high prevalence of overuse injuries of the lower back, knee, and shoulder (25% of which resulted in missed training or competition).

Volleyball, whether played indoors or on the beach, is therefore not a particularly dangerous sport. The published data suggest that the injury pattern is similar for men and women, and that volleyball athletes appear to be at greatest risk of acute inversion sprains of the ankle and overuse injuries of the knee (predominantly patellar tendinopathy) and shoulder (impingement and...
Ankle sprains are the most common acute injury suffered by volleyball players. With a reported incidence of about 1/1000 hours of participation (training and competition combined), acute ankle sprains account for up to half of all volleyball related injuries among male and female players participating indoors at all skill levels. Ankle sprains are not trivial injuries. An ankle sprain can be expected to result in (on average) 4.5 weeks of time lost from volleyball training or competition.

Mechanism of injury

The vast majority of volleyball related ankle injuries are contact related inversion sprains. Indoors, ankle sprains occur most often at the net, as the result of contact between the attacker and the opposing blocker(s) across the centre line. The blocker, who for tactical reasons jumps later than the attacker, may land on the attacker’s foot within this “conflict zone” under the net. Approximately one quarter of indoor volleyball related ankle sprains occur when a blocker lands on a teammate’s foot when participating in a multi-person block. Consequently, middle blockers and outside attackers are at greatest risk of ankle sprains, and setters and defensive specialists are at comparatively low risk.

STRATEGIES FOR PREVENTING ANKLE SPRAINS

The extent of the problem

Ankle sprains are the most common acute injury suffered by volleyball players. With a reported incidence of about 1/1000 hours of participation (training and competition combined), acute ankle sprains account for up to half of all volleyball related injuries among male and female players participating indoors at all skill levels. Ankle sprains are not trivial injuries. An ankle sprain can be expected to result in (on average) 4.5 weeks of time lost from volleyball training or competition.

Potential prevention strategies

Several intervention strategies have been proposed in an effort to reduce the risk of ankle sprains, including modification of the centre line rule, improving attacker spike approach technique, the quality of rehabilitation after the index (or most recent) sprain, and the use of an external support (tape or brace) in an effort to protect the ankle from injury. Each of these strategies will be considered in turn.

Rule changes

In recognition of the fact that most indoor ankle sprains occur at the net and involve (legal) penetration of the centre line, Bahr proposed a rule change that would have made any contact with the centre line a fault. When tested during a Norwegian tournament, however, nearly 20-fold more centre line violations were whistled than under the existing rule, and therefore the proposed intervention was abandoned. Interestingly, despite the introduction of a more liberal rule permitting complete penetration of the centre line (as long as such penetration does not interfere with play on the opponent’s side of the court), the incidence of ankle sprains in women’s collegiate volleyball has not increased significantly over the last several years. This suggests that a rule limiting centre line penetration only within the “conflict zone” may prove effective in reducing the incidence of ankle sprains without adversely affecting the flow of the game, but this hypothesis has yet to be tested. No centre line exists outdoors, and beach athletes are permitted to cross over into the opposition’s side of the court provided that they do not interfere with their opponents.
The extent of the problem
Volleyball athletes often complain of anterior knee pain. By far the most common diagnosis among those with knee pain is patellar tendinopathy. Also known as “jumper’s knee,” this condition has consistently been reported to afflict about 50% of male indoor volleyball players. The work of Sitler et al. and Surve et al. suggests that external orthoses are effective in preventing recurrent ankle sprains in basketball and soccer respectively. However, as the environmental risk factors for volleyball related ankle sprains may be unique to the discipline, the preventive effect of taping and/or bracing should be confirmed through volleyball specific research.

STRATEGIES FOR PREVENTING PATELLAR TENDINOPATHY

Mechanism of injury
Patellar tendinopathy is an overuse injury. Symptom onset typically occurs gradually after a threshold of cumulative tissue injury has been exceeded. However, it is not well understood why some athletes become symptomatic and others do not, despite equivalent training loads. Histological inspection of patellar tendon biopsies from affected athletes consistently reveals degeneration and fibrotic scarring of the tendon, particularly at the bone-tendon junction. The normally parallel collagen bundles are disorganised, and the observed tenocytes display altered morphology. It has been proposed that the initial pathology in tendinopathy involves the tenocyte rather than the collagen fibres themselves. The theory is that excessive tendon loading somehow induces tenocyte apoptosis (programmed cell death), but at present the link between excessive loading and subsequent pathology is not well understood.

Microscopic examination of areas of structural tendon degeneration also reveals a notable absence of inflammatory cells, despite prominent angiogenesis and capillary proliferation. Recent ultrasonographic imaging studies suggest that neovascularisation within the area of tendon degeneration correlates with (and may be predictive of) symptoms among volleyball players. Interestingly, although similar findings have yet to be reported for patellar tendinopathy, neovessels have been observed to disappear in those athletes with symptomatic Achilles tendinopathy who responded to therapeutic eccentric exercise protocols.

Risk factors
There is some evidence to suggest that the prevalence of patellar tendinopathy is sex dependent. For example, a recent study showed that in team handball and soccer the prevalence of jumper’s knee is 2–3 times greater among men than women. Jumper’s knee is more prevalent among volleyball players who train on hard, unforgiving surfaces, and symptoms may be precipitated after increases in the volume of jump training. Not surprisingly therefore, beach volleyball athletes have a lower prevalence of symptomatic patellar tendinopathy than do indoor volleyball athletes, and middle blockers tend to suffer from jumper’s...
knee more than do players at other positions. Anatomical factors do not appear to be a significant risk factor for patellar tendinopathy, although (as alluded to above) at the tissue level the presence of neovessels may prove to be predictive of symptom onset. Biomechanical studies have revealed an increased incidence of jumper’s knee among those athletes who jump highest and in those who develop the deepest knee flexion angle during landing from a spike jump. Another study suggested that valgus knee strain during the eccentric loading phase of the spike jump take off may contribute to the observed asymmetric onset of patellar tendinopathy. In summary, it appears that factors that increase the dynamic load on the patellar tendon increase the risk of developing jumper’s knee (table 2).

**Potential prevention strategies**

Although patellar tendinopathy is quite common among volleyball athletes, only one volleyball specific intervention study has been published to date. Strategies for prevention of this potentially severe and incapacitating condition may be classified in the following ways.

**Technique**

More research is needed to determine whether instructing athletes to use specific spike jump approach and landing techniques (in order to minimise valgus strain on the lead knee during the jump approach and to keep knee flexion to a minimum on landing respectively) may help to reduce cumulative load on the patellar tendon.

**Training**

Given the effect of surface and training volume on jumper’s knee, it makes sense to minimise the volume of jump training on hard playing surfaces. Overloading the knee extensor mechanism beyond the capacity of the patellar tendon to regenerate will precipitate jumper’s knee. However, more research is needed to quantify how often and by what percentage the volume of jump training can be safely increased over a given time period. Unpublished data from Norway suggest that the risk of developing patellar tendinopathy may be quite high when young, promising players are promoted from the junior to the senior level (L Øystein, R Bahr, personal communication, 2006). These talented young players are abruptly moved from a relatively safe training environment—for example, practice two to three days a week, no weight lifting—to an elite club or sports school that practices daily and has a structured programme of weight training. Of course, these promising talents are also likely to possess superior jumping ability which, when coupled with sudden increases in strength, muscle mass, and training load, further amplifies their risk of developing anterior knee pain.

**Rehabilitation**

Eccentric training protocols (particularly those using decline squats) have been proven to be an effective means of treating patellar tendinopathy, although a recently published study reported that eccentric training of the quadriceps was ineffective in treating symptomatic jumper’s knee in volleyball players during the competition season. There is preliminary evidence that such knee extensor eccentric training protocols, used prophylactically, can effectively prevent sports related anterior knee pain from patellar tendinopathy. However, volleyball specific prevention studies have yet to be conducted. It has been speculated that core weakness may contribute to the risk of developing anterior knee pain, as might functional imbalances of the lower limbs, although no prospective studies have proven these possible associations. Finally, when treating jumper’s knee, it is important to rehabilitate beyond the absence of symptoms, and to avoid return to play before the athlete is adequately rehabilitated, in order to maximise secondary prevention of recurrent injury and thus minimise the risk of chronicity.

**External orthoses**

Although anecdotal reports of benefit abound, there is no research based evidence to suggest that patellar straps (ostensibly designed to redistribute the forces acting on the patellar tendon) are an effective method of treating or preventing jumper’s knee.

**STRATEGIES FOR PREVENTING SHOULDER OVERUSE INJURY**

The extent of the problem

Shoulder pain syndromes represent the third most common injury among both female and male volleyball athletes and the second most common overuse related condition, accounting for 8–20% of all volleyball injuries. Furthermore, Verhagen et al found that shoulder conditions result, on average, in 6.5 weeks of lost training and/or competition time, by far the longest mean absence from sports participation compared with other time-loss injuries described in their study. Despite the widespread nature of the problem, with the exception of suprascapular neuropathy, relatively little is known about the epidemiology of shoulder pain among volleyball athletes.

**Mechanism of injury**

Our understanding of the natural history of shoulder overuse pathology among “overhead athletes” has evolved significantly over the last decade. Although there has been extensive research into the kinetics and kinematics of the overhead throwing motion, most of the published studies have focused on baseball, with comparatively few studies focusing specifically on volleyball related shoulder pathology. Nevertheless, there are sufficient similarities between the biomechanical aspects of the different overhead sports that we have come to appreciate the fact that the majority of the force imparted to the volleyball during a spike originates from the torso. The scapula, which serves as a “funnel” for the efficient transfer of kinetic energy to the upper limb, is furthermore responsible for providing a stable base of support so that the upper limb can be correctly positioned in space during the performance of overhead skills. The glenohumeral joint, which is capable of exceptional range of motion, is unfortunately inherently anatomically quite unstable. Consequently, the dynamic stabilisers of the scapula and the humeral head are critical to maintaining the functional integrity of the glenohumeral joint, and to the ability to successfully serve and spike a volleyball.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>Modifiable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intrinsic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anatomy</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomechanics</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditioning/core stability</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenohumeral internal rotation deficit</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous injury</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scapular dyskinesis (SICK scapula)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrins</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competitive situation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Proposed risk factors for shoulder pain among volleyball athletes
It has been estimated that the elite volleyball athlete performs as many as 40,000 spikes in a season, but this may be an underestimation of the true volume. The resultant load probably depends on a number of factors, including the mechanics of the arm swing and the distribution of overhead swings between practice and competition. Although the kinetics of the volleyball spike have not been reported, it is clear that the shoulder girdle is exposed to tremendous cumulative load as the result of repetitive spiking and serving.

The consequences of such chronic overload have been well described by Kugler et al., who identified a set of clinical findings commonly seen in the dominant shoulder girdles of a small cohort of elite volleyball attackers. Characteristic findings included depression and lateralisation of the dominant scapula compared with the non-dominant side. Interestingly, similar physical adaptations have subsequently been reported to occur among other overhead athletes, and the constellation of findings has been characterised in the literature as the “SICK scapula” (scapular malposition, inferior medial border prominence, coracoid pain and malposition, and scapular dyskinesis). The SICK scapula is associated with shoulder pain due to the spectrum of rotator cuff pathology and functional instability. It is also tempting to speculate that the SICK scapula may contribute to the development of suprascapular neuropathy, a peripheral mononeuropathy occurring in up to 45% of elite volleyball athletes. Although the aetiology of supraspinatus neuropathy has been debated in the literature, we propose that the scapular lateralisation and dyskinesia typical of the SICK scapula syndrome may provide sufficient traction to compromise the suprascapular nerve as it traverses the spinoglenoid notch.

**Risk factors**

The risk factors for developing shoulder pain among volleyball athletes have not been rigorously defined. It seems intuitive that the risk factors should include a history of prior shoulder pain and the magnitude of the load to which the athlete is exposed (table 3). Other suspected risk factors that have yet to be verified through epidemiological research include the effect of the environment on both the trajectory of the outdoor ball during the set (to which the beach athlete must spontaneously adjust) and the weight of the volleyball (should it become wet and thus heavier). Sex may be a risk factor for shoulder pain, as data presented by Mjaanes and Briner suggest that elite female athletes may be more prone than male athletes to developing symptomatic instability. It is not clear to what extent biomechanical considerations influence the prevalence of shoulder pain. Oka et al. described two types of spiking styles, and although subsequent studies suggest that these styles may not accurately describe the more common techniques used in recent years, it seems logical to assume that how and where a volleyball athlete contacts the ball during the spike or serve would influence the load on the glenohumeral joint. Whether strength ratios (such as that between isokinetic eccentric external rotation and concentric internal rotation) are predictive of the development of shoulder pain, or indeed whether it is reasonable to use these measurable variables as benchmarks for determining when an injured athlete should be cleared to return to play, needs to be determined through further research. Burkhart et al. have suggested that a deficit of internal rotation at the glenohumeral joint that exceeds 10% of the total rotation arc of the contralateral shoulder should be considered a risk factor for the development of shoulder pain among overhead athletes. It is interesting to note that Burkhart has reported that overhead athletes with shoulder pain also often have demonstrable core weakness, suggesting that the symptomatic athlete may be overloading the shoulder girdle through altered biomechanics in an effort to compensate for insufficient power generated by the core musculature early in the throwing motion. Whether this holds true for volleyball players is not known.

**Potential prevention strategies**

No volleyball specific prevention intervention studies have been published to permit development of an evidence based guideline for the prevention of shoulder pain. Common sense preventive interventions that need to be further investigated include the following.

**Technique**

Once defined, it would seem appropriate to instruct symptomatic athletes in a spiking technique that minimises the kinetic load on the glenohumeral joint.

**Training**

Reduction in the load/volume of training should result in less shoulder overload and provide a greater opportunity for tissue recovery. Burkhart et al. claim dramatic reduction in the prevalence of shoulder complaints in tennis and baseball players by addressing posterior capsular tightness and the resultant glenohumeral internal rotation deficit through a consistent season long stretching programme. Such an intervention has yet to be investigated in volleyball athletes. Volleyball athletes should also engage in a year round programme of predominantly eccentric resistance training designed to maintain coordinated scapular/rotator cuff function, strength, and endurance. Lastly, it would appear important to maintain the elite volleyball athlete on a programme of core strengthening/stability training.

**Rehabilitation**

Secondary prevention of shoulder pain is almost entirely dependent on effective rehabilitation. This means providing the symptomatic athlete with an accurate, comprehensive diagnosis from the outset and subsequently restricting return to play until he/she is both asymptomatic and has undergone a careful biomechanical analysis to ensure that no lingering underlying maladaptations exist that might precipitate reinjury.

**External orthoses**

There are no published data to prove that shoulder orthoses or taping of the shoulder girdle to control scapular mechanics is helpful in the prevention (or long term treatment) of shoulder pain syndromes.

**SUMMARY**

Although sports medicine has, through research as well as trial and error, made tremendous advances in the treatment and rehabilitation of serious injuries, its ultimate goal should be to prevent injuries so that athletes can remain competitive in the arena rather than inactive in the training room. Although volleyball is a relatively safe sport, participants are at risk of a characteristic pattern of acute and overuse injuries that can have both short and long term sequelae to both the individual athlete and his/her team. Despite a growing understanding of the unique, sport specific risk factors for volleyball related injuries, the existing literature on the prevention of volleyball injuries is relatively sparse. More research is needed to identify effective interventions that will help to reduce not only the risk of primary injury among both male and female volleyball athletes, but also secondary reinjury, so that their ability to participate in and enjoy the sport is not compromised.
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COMMENTARY 1

The risk of injury in volleyball is lower than in many other team sports such as football and handball, but the sport has a quite unique pattern of injury. The authors have reviewed the literature for the three most common injury types. They highlight the need for more research in the field; in particular, studies investigating shoulder pain are sparse. However, the major problem when comparing studies is the